

The Ghirlanda-Guerra identities for mixed p -spin model.

Dmitry Panchenko*
Texas A&M University

Abstract

We show that under the conditions in [6] which imply the validity of the Parisi formula if the generic Sherrington-Kirkpatrick Hamiltonian contains a p -spin term then the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities for the p th power of the overlap hold in a strong sense without averaging. This implies strong version of the extended Ghirlanda-Guerra identities for mixed p -spin models than contain terms for all even $p \geq 2$ and $p = 1$.

Key words: Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model, Ghirlanda-Guerra identities.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 60K35, 82B44

1 Introduction and main result.

A generic mixed p -spin Hamiltonian $H_N(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ indexed by spin configurations $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \{-1, +1\}^N$ is defined as a linear combination

$$H_N(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \sum_{p \geq 1} \beta_p H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \quad (1.1)$$

of p -spin Sherrington-Kirkpatrick Hamiltonians

$$H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{N^{(p-1)/2}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_p \leq N} g_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \sigma_{i_1} \dots \sigma_{i_p} \quad (1.2)$$

where $(g_{i_1, \dots, i_p})$ are i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables, also independent for all $p \geq 1$. For simplicity of notation, we will keep the dependence of H_p on N implicit. If a model involves an external field parameter $h \in \mathbb{R}$ then the (random) Gibbs measure on $\{-1, +1\}^N$ corresponding to the Hamiltonian H_N is defined by

$$G_N(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z_N} \exp\left(H_N(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) + h \sum_{i \leq N} \sigma_i\right) \quad (1.3)$$

*Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, Mailstop 3386, College Station, TX, 77843, email: panchenk@math.tamu.edu. Partially supported by NSF grant.

where Z_N is the normalizing factor called the partition function. As usual, we will denote by $\langle \cdot \rangle$ the expectation with respect to the product Gibbs measure $G_N^{\otimes \infty}$. One of the most important properties of the Gibbs measure G_N was discovered by Ghirlanda and Guerra in [2] who showed that on average over some small perturbation of the parameters (β_p) in (1.1) the annealed product Gibbs measure satisfies a family of distributional identities which are now called the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities. A more convenient version of this result proved in [7] can be formulated as follows. There exists a small perturbation $(\beta_{N,p})$ of the parameters in (1.1) such that all $\beta_{N,p} \rightarrow \beta_p$ and such that for all $p \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$ and any function $f = f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^n) : (\{-1, +1\}^N)^n \rightarrow [-1, 1]$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{E} \langle f R_{1,n+1}^p \rangle - \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \langle f \rangle \mathbb{E} \langle R_{1,2}^p \rangle - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=2}^n \mathbb{E} \langle f R_{1,l}^p \rangle \right| = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is now the Gibbs average corresponding to the Hamiltonian (1.1) with perturbed parameters $(\beta_{N,p})$ and $R_{l,l'} = N^{-1} \sum_{i \leq N} \sigma_i^l \sigma_i^{l'}$ is the overlap of configurations $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^l$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{l'}$. Of course, the ultimate goal would be to show that (1.4) holds without perturbing the parameters (β_p) which would mean that the joint distribution of the overlaps $(R_{l,l'})_{l,l' \geq 1}$ under the annealed product Gibbs measure $\mathbb{E} G_N^{\otimes \infty}$ asymptotically satisfies the following distributional identities (up to symmetry considerations): for any $n \geq 2$, conditionally on $(R_{l,l'})_{1 \leq l < l' \leq n}$ the law of $R_{1,n+1}$ is given by the mixture $n^{-1} \mu + n^{-1} \sum_{l=2}^n \delta_{R_{1,l}}$ where μ is the law of $R_{1,2}$. Toward this goal, a stronger version of (1.4) for the original Hamiltonian (1.1) without any perturbation of parameters was proved for $p = 1$ in [1] under the additional assumption that $\beta_1 \neq 0$ and a non-restrictive assumption on the limit of the free energy $F_N = N^{-1} \mathbb{E} \log Z_N$. Here we will prove the same result for all p under the assumptions and as a direct consequence of the seminal work of Talagrand in [6] where the validity of the Parisi formula was proved. Namely, from now on we will assume that the sum in (1.1) is taken over $p = 1$ and even $p \geq 2$. In this case, it was proved in [6] that the limit of the free energy

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = P(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \quad (1.5)$$

exists and is given by the Parisi formula $P(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ discovered in [4]. The exact definition of $P(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ will not be important to us and the only nontrivial property that we will use is its differentiability in each coordinate β_p which was proved in [5] (see also [3]).

Theorem 1 *For $p = 1$ and for all even $p \geq 2$,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \langle |H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \mathbb{E} \langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle| \rangle = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

If $\beta_p \neq 0$ then (1.6) implies (1.4) by the usual integration by parts. In particular, if $\beta_p \neq 0$ for $p = 1$ and all even $p \geq 2$, the positivity principle of Talagrand proved in [8] implies the strong version of the extended Ghirlanda-Guerra identities without any perturbation of the parameters.

Remark. We will see that the proof does not depend on the specific form of the Hamiltonian (1.1) and the result can be formulated in more generality. Namely, given a sequence of

random measures ν_N on some measurable space (Σ, S) and a sequence of random processes A_N indexed by $\sigma \in \Sigma$, consider a sequence of Gibbs' measures G_N defined by the change of density

$$dG_N(\sigma) = Z_N^{-1} \exp(x A_N(\sigma)) d\nu_N(\sigma).$$

Let $\psi_N(x) = N^{-1} \log Z_N$ and $F_N(x) = \mathbb{E} \psi_N(x)$. Suppose that $\mathbb{E} |\psi_N(x) - F_N(x)| \rightarrow 0$ and $F_N(x) \rightarrow P(x)$ in some neighborhood of x_0 , and suppose that the limit $P(x)$ is differentiable at x_0 . Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \langle |A_N(\sigma) - \mathbb{E} \langle A_N(\sigma) \rangle_{x_0}| \rangle_{x_0} = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

assuming some measurability and integrability conditions on A_N and ν_N which will be clear from the proof and are usually trivially satisfied. In Theorem 1 we simply appeal to the results in [5] and [6].

□

Proof of Theorem 1. It has been observed for a long time that (see, for example, [1])

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \langle |H_p(\sigma) - \mathbb{E} \langle H_p(\sigma) \rangle| \rangle = 0.$$

This is where one uses the fact that $\mathbb{E} |\psi_N - F_N| \rightarrow 0$, which is well known for p -spin models. It remains to prove that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \langle |H_p(\sigma) - \langle H_p(\sigma) \rangle| \rangle = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

This was proved in [1] for $p = 1$, but here we will show how this can be obtained as a direct consequence of (1.5) for all p . Let $\langle \cdot \rangle_x$ denote the Gibbs average corresponding to the Hamiltonian (1.1) where β_p has been replaced by x . Consider $\beta'_p > \beta_p$ and let $\delta = \beta'_p - \beta_p$. We start with the following obvious equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta_p}^{\beta'_p} \mathbb{E} \langle |H_p(\sigma^1) - H_p(\sigma^2)| \rangle_x dx &= \delta \mathbb{E} \langle |H_p(\sigma^1) - H_p(\sigma^2)| \rangle_{\beta_p} \\ &+ \int_{\beta_p}^{\beta'_p} \int_{\beta_p}^x \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{E} \langle |H_p(\sigma^1) - H_p(\sigma^2)| \rangle_t dt dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{E} \langle |H_p(\sigma^1) - H_p(\sigma^2)| \rangle_t \right| &= \left| \mathbb{E} \langle |H_p(\sigma^1) - H_p(\sigma^2)| (H_p(\sigma^1) + H_p(\sigma^2) - 2H_p(\sigma^3)) \rangle_t \right| \\ &\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \langle (H_p(\sigma^1) - H_p(\sigma^2))^2 \rangle_t \leq 8 \mathbb{E} \langle (H_p(\sigma) - \langle H_p(\sigma) \rangle_t)^2 \rangle_t \end{aligned}$$

equation (1.9) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\langle |H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^1) - H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2)| \rangle_{\beta_p} &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\beta_p}^{\beta'_p} \mathbb{E}\langle |H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^1) - H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2)| \rangle_x dx \\
&\quad + \frac{8}{\delta} \int_{\beta_p}^{\beta'_p} \int_{\beta_p}^x \mathbb{E}\langle (H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle_t)^2 \rangle_t dt dx \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\delta} \int_{\beta_p}^{\beta'_p} \mathbb{E}\langle |H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle_x| \rangle_x dx \\
&\quad + 8 \int_{\beta_p}^{\beta'_p} \mathbb{E}\langle (H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle_t)^2 \rangle_t dt \\
&\leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\beta_p}^{\beta'_p} \mathbb{E}\langle (H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle_x)^2 \rangle_x dx \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + 8 \int_{\beta_p}^{\beta'_p} \mathbb{E}\langle (H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle_x)^2 \rangle_x dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we denote

$$\Delta_N = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\beta_p}^{\beta'_p} \mathbb{E}\langle (H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle_x)^2 \rangle_x dx$$

we showed that

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}\langle |H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle| \rangle \leq \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}\langle |H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^1) - H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2)| \rangle \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_N}{N\delta}} + 8\Delta_N. \quad (1.10)$$

If for a moment we think of $F_N = F_N(x)$ as a function of x only then

$$F'_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}\langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle_x \quad \text{and} \quad F''_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}\langle (H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle_x)^2 \rangle_x$$

so that $\Delta_N = F'_N(\beta'_p) - F'_N(\beta_p)$. Since $F_N(x)$ is convex, for any $\gamma > 0$,

$$\Delta_N = F'_N(\beta'_p) - F'_N(\beta_p) \leq \frac{F_N(\beta'_p + \gamma) - F_N(\beta'_p)}{\gamma} - \frac{F_N(\beta_p) - F_N(\beta_p - \gamma)}{\gamma}$$

and, therefore, equations (1.10) and (1.5) now imply

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}\langle |H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \langle H_p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle| \rangle \leq 8 \left(\frac{P(\beta'_p + \gamma) - P(\beta'_p)}{\gamma} - \frac{P(\beta_p) - P(\beta_p - \gamma)}{\gamma} \right)$$

where again we write $P = P(x)$ as a function of x only. Letting $\beta'_p \rightarrow \beta_p$ first and then letting $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ and using that $P(x)$ is differentiable proves the result. $\square$

References

- [1] S. Chatterjee, The Ghirlanda-Guerra identities without averaging, preprint (2009), arXiv:0911.4520.
- [2] S. Ghirlanda, F. Guerra, General properties of overlap probability distributions in disordered spin systems. Towards Parisi ultrametricity, J. Phys. A 31 (1998) no. 46 9149-9155.
- [3] D. Panchenko, On differentiability of the Parisi formula, Elect. Comm. in Probab. 13 (2008) 241-247.
- [4] G. Parisi, A sequence of approximate solutions to the S-K model for spin glasses, J. Phys. A 13 (1980) L-115.
- [5] M. Talagrand, Parisi measures, J. Funct. Anal. 231 (2006) no. 2 269-286.
- [6] M. Talagrand, Parisi formula, Ann. of Math. (2) 163 (2006) no. 1 221-263.
- [7] M. Talagrand, Construction of pure states in mean-field models for spin glasses, preprint (2008), to appear in Probab. Theory Related Fields.
- [8] M. Talagrand, Mean Field Models for Spin Glasses. Manuscript.